

BEFORE THE
ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION
BENCH SESSION
(PUBLIC UTILITY)

Wednesday, July 9, 2014

Chicago, Illinois

Met, pursuant to notice, at 10:30 A.M.,
at 160 North La Salle Street, Chicago, Illinois.

PRESENT:

DOUGLAS P. SCOTT, Chairman

JOHN T. COLGAN, Commissioner

ANN MCCABE, Commissioner

SHERINA E. MAYE, Commissioner

Miguel Del Valle, Commissioner

SULLIVAN REPORTING COMPANY, by
PATRICIA WESLEY
CSR #084-002170

1 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Pursuant to the provisions of
2 the Open Meetings Act, I now convene the regularly
3 scheduled Bench session of the Illinois Commerce
4 Commission. With me in Chicago are Commissioner
5 Colgan, Commissioner McCabe, Commissioner del Valle
6 and Commissioner Maye. I am Chairman Scott. We
7 have a quorum.

8 Before moving into the agenda,
9 according to Section 1700.10 of Title 2 of the
10 Administrative Code, this is the time we allow
11 members of the public to address the Commission.
12 Members of the public wishing to address the
13 Commission must notify the Chief Clerk's office at
14 least 24 hours prior to Commission meetings.
15 According to the Chief Clerk's office, we have no
16 requests to speak at today's Bench session.

17 Moving on to the Public Utilities
18 agenda, we'll begin with the approval of minutes
19 from our June 11th Bench session. I understand the
20 amendments have been forwarded.

21 Is there a motion to amend the
22 minutes?

1 COMMISSIONER COLGAN: So move.

2 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Is there a second?

3 COMMISSIONER McCABE: Second.

4 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Moved by Commissioner Colgan and
5 seconded by Commissioner McCabe.

6 All in favor, say aye.

7 (Chorus of ayes.)

8 Any opposed?

9 (No response.)

10 The vote is 5 to nothing and the
11 amendments are adopted.

12 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Is there now a motion to approve
13 the minutes as amended?

14 COMMISSIONER MAYE: So move.

15 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Is there a second?

16 COMMISSIONER COLGAN: Second.

17 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Moved by Commissioner Maye and
18 seconded by Commissioner Colgan.

19 All in favor, say aye.

20 (Chorus of ayes.)

21 Any opposed?

22 (No response.)

1 The vote is 5 to nothing. The minutes
2 from our June 11th Bench Session, as amended, are
3 approved.

4 Now we have approval of the minutes
5 from our June 17th Regular Open Meeting. I
6 understand amendments have been forwarded.

7 Is there a motion to amend the
8 minutes?

9 COMMISSIONER McCABE: So move.

10 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Is there a second?

11 COMMISSIONER MAYE: Second.

12 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Moved by Commissioner McCabe and
13 seconded by Commissioner Maye.

14 All in favor, say aye.

15 (Chorus of ayes.)

16 Any opposed?

17 (No response.)

18 The vote is 5 to nothing and the
19 amendments are adopted.

20 Is there now a motion to approve the
21 minutes as amended?

22 COMMISSIONER del VALLE: So approve.

1 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Is there a second?

2 COMMISSIONER McCABE: Second.

3 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Moved by Commissioner del Valle
4 and seconded by Commissioner McCabe.

5 All in favor, say aye.

6 (Chorus of ayes.)

7 Any opposed?

8 (No response.)

9 The vote is 5 to nothing and the
10 minutes from our June 17th Regular Open Meeting, as
11 amended, are approved.

12 Now to the electric portion of
13 today's agenda. Item E-1 is Docket No. 13-0075.
14 This is Ameren Illinois' compliance filing per a
15 December 5, 2012 Order in Docket No. 12-0293. It
16 appears the parties have resolved the issues and
17 entered into a stipulation, which ALJ Yoder
18 recommends we approve.

19 Is there any discussion?

20 (No response.)

21 Is there a motion to enter the Order?

22 COMMISSIONER McCABE: Move.

1 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Moved by Commissioner McCabe --

2 CHAIRMAN COLGAN: Second.

3 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: -- and seconded by Commissioner
4 Colgan.

5 Any further discussion?

6 (No response.)

7 All in favor, say aye.

8 (Chorus of ayes.)

9 Any opposed?

10 (No response.)

11 The vote is 5 to nothing and the Order
12 is entered. We will use this 5 to nothing vote for
13 the remainder of today's public utility agenda
14 unless otherwise noted.

15 Item E-2 is Docket No. 13-0266. This
16 is Ameren Illinois' petition for approval of the
17 initial reconciliation period of the Utility
18 Consolidated Billing ("UCB") and Purchase of
19 Receivables ("POR") Program, pursuant to Section
20 16-118 of the Illinois Public Utilities Act.
21 ALJ Von Qualen recommends entry of an Order
22 approving the reconciliation.

1 Is there any discussion?

2 (No response.)

3 Any objections?

4 (No response.)

5 Hearing none, the Order is entered.

6 Item E-3 is Docket No. 13-0484. This
7 is iMex Energy's Application for Licensure as an
8 Agent, Broker and Consultant under Section 16-115(c)
9 of the Public Utilities Act. ALJ Kimbrel recommends
10 entry of an Order denying the requested certificate.

11 Is there any discussion?

12 (No response.)

13 Any objections.

14 (No response.)

15 Hearing none, the Order is entered and
16 the certificate is denied.

17 Item E-4 is Docket No. 13-0657. This
18 is ComEd's Application for a Certificate of Public
19 Convenience and Necessity, pursuant to Section
20 8-406.1 of the Illinois Public Utilities Act, and an
21 Order, pursuant to Section 8-503 of the Illinois
22 Public Utilities Act, to construct, operate and

1 maintain a new 345kV transmission line in various
2 counties across Illinois.

3 We have an Order that was not
4 available on E-docket that I'm going to read from
5 the Bench and ask for comments on. This is
6 concerning the motion to file an Amended Petition,
7 which was filed by Commonwealth Edison.

8 "By the Commission: On May 1, 2014,
9 Commonwealth Edison Company ("ComEd") filed a
10 supplement to its verified petition ("Supplement to
11 Petition").

12 On May 28, 2014, the Administrative
13 Law Judges ("ALJs") issued a Notice of
14 Administrative Law Judges' Ruling ("ALJ Ruling")
15 stating that subject to the reopening of the record
16 by the Chief Administrative Law Judge, ComEd's
17 voluntary request to reopen and supplement the
18 record is granted. The ALJ Ruling made ComEd's
19 supplement to the record, as well as staff's or any
20 parties' responses thereto, a part of the
21 evidentiary record.

22 In response to this Ruling, on May 29,

1 2014, ComEd filed its Motion for Leave to File an
2 Amendment to its Petition ("Motion") to plead the
3 additional facts contained in its supplemental
4 filing. A schedule was set for responses and
5 replies to ComEd's Motion to be filed by June 6 and
6 13, 2014 respectively. No parties filed responses
7 or replies and the ALJs have not yet ruled on
8 ComEd's motion.

9 ComEd's motion states that the need
10 for this amended petition arose from the filing of
11 notice by the petitioner to a landowner who was not
12 the record landowner at the time the records of the
13 relevant county assessor were inspected.

14 Section 200.150(h), of the
15 Commission's rules (83 Ill. Admin Code, Section
16 200.150(h)), requires that a person filing an
17 application under Section 8-406 of the Public
18 Utilities Act ("Act") for a Certificate of Public
19 Convenience and Necessity to construct facilities
20 upon or across privately-owned tracts of land, or
21 filing under Section 8-503 of that Act, shall
22 include with the application when filed with the

1 Commission a list containing the name and address of
2 each owner of record of the land as disclosed by the
3 records of the tax collector of the county in which
4 the land is located as of not more than 30 days
5 prior to the filing of the application.

6 In this case, the petitioner has
7 indicated that in collecting the landowner records,
8 they began this collection three days earlier than
9 the required period. As a result ComEd proposed to
10 extend the schedule beyond the statutory deadline to
11 address this error and agreed to waive any right to
12 demand, whether by motion or separate action, a more
13 rapid decision or otherwise assert that the
14 reopening was error, based on the adoption of this
15 new schedule.

16 Section 8-406.1(g) of the Act
17 (220 ILCS 5/8-406.1(g) states that the Commission
18 shall issue its decision with findings of fact and
19 conclusions of law granting or denying the
20 application no later than 150 days after the
21 application is filed, with the option to extend the
22 150-day deadline upon notice by an additional

1 75 days if, on or before the 30th day after the
2 filing of the application, the Commission finds that
3 good cause exists to extend the 150-day period.

4 Some may suggest that because the
5 expedited timeline inures to the benefit of the
6 petitioner, their waiver should suffice. It does
7 not. The statute is clear in terms of the deadline
8 and provides only for a one-time extension which may
9 be granted by the Commission for good cause, as it
10 already has been in this case. We are bound by the
11 deadlines in this and many other types of cases, and
12 unless there is authority to waive them, the
13 Commission cannot create new timeliness.

14 Even if all the parties have agreed to
15 a waiver, it does not appear to the Commission that
16 the Act allows for such an outcome in this
17 proceeding. All who participate in matters before
18 the Commission have the right to know when their
19 cases will be decided based on the applicable
20 portion of the Act.

21 In this case, the acceptance of an
22 amended petition rectifying the prior notice issue

1 triggers a new 150-day deadline.

2 The Commission notes its
3 responsibility to ensure that in proceedings such as
4 this all affected parties are afforded the
5 opportunity to be heard. That duty may even be
6 enhanced in expedited transmission cases, which have
7 an incredibly short deadline.

8 We have spoken in the past regarding
9 how the expedited nature of transmission cases filed
10 under Section 8-406.1 of the Act make it difficult
11 to adequately assess the merits of the case and this
12 instance is no exception. The problem is
13 exacerbated by lack of timely notice to affected
14 landowners. The Commission must do what it can to
15 make sure landowner rights are protected. These
16 concerns further validate a reset of the statutory
17 timeline based on the motion to file an amendment to
18 the petition.

19 Based on the forgoing, and pursuant to
20 its authority under Section 10-110 of the Public
21 Utilities Act, the Commission grants ComEd's motion
22 to file its amended petition pleading new facts with

1 regard to notice. The 150-day statutory deadline
2 shall be reset to begin on May 29, 2014, the date
3 ComEd filed its motion.

4 The Commission finds that the
5 procedural schedule set in the ALJ Ruling on
6 May 28, 2014 is reasonable and shall be adopted with
7 the limits to the parameter and scope set out in the
8 ALJ Ruling except that the final deadline for
9 Commission action is October 27, 2014.

10 The remaining schedule is as follows:
11 Tuesday, July 15, 2014, ComEd can file surrebuttal
12 testimony on reopening, if necessary; July 23 or 24,
13 2014, one-day hearing, if necessary; Thursday,
14 August 7, 2014, briefs on issues presented in
15 reopening; Friday, August 15, 2014, reply briefs
16 on issues presented in reopening; Thursday,
17 September 4, 2014, ALJ's Proposed Order on all
18 issues; Monday, September 15, 2014, briefs on
19 exceptions addressing all issues; Tuesday,
20 September 23, 2014, reply briefs on exceptions
21 addressing all issues; and Monday, October 27, 2014,
22 deadline for ICC action. By Order of the Commission

1 this 9th day of July 2014."

2 And I would move for adoption of the
3 Order.

4 Is there a second?

5 COMMISSIONER del VALLE: Second.

6 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Seconded by Commissioner
7 del Valle.

8 Discussion on the Order.

9 COMMISSIONER MAYE: I have a comment.

10 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Commissioner Maye.

11 COMMISSIONER MAYE: Thank you. I believe that
12 this is the second utility now to file under an
13 expedited provision of this Act. I also believe
14 this is the second time the notice of filing was
15 severely botched.

16 I think that we had mentioned before
17 that we cannot prevent or force anyone to file under
18 the Commission's notice requirement and that we
19 cannot stop them from filing under the expedited
20 provision, but, at the very least, if you are going
21 to file under the expedited provision and force this
22 expedited deadline on the Commission, the very least

1 that you can do is to do your due diligence and come
2 with all your ducks in a row. That has not happened
3 yet.

4 So I'm going to say that I think that
5 it is becoming clear that this expedited provision
6 allows for 150 days to conduct such a large
7 project with such a large size in latitude has
8 become impossible, and I would hope that you take
9 that into consideration and move forward.

10 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Further discussion?

11 (No response.)

12 All in favor?

13 JUDGE WALLACE: Chairman, if I may --

14 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Yes.

15 JUDGE WALLACE: -- may I interrupt for a minute?

16 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Yes. Go right ahead.

17 JUDGE WALLACE: This is Judge Wallace. Would you
18 mind terribly if we put in another sentence that
19 this Order is not final and it's not subject to
20 Administrative Review Law?

21 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: That's fine.

22 JUDGE WALLACE: Okay.

1 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: That's fine. Is there an
2 objection? Okay. No? That's fine, Judge. Thank
3 you.

4 COMMISSIONER COLGAN: We need a motion to that
5 effect.

6 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: We need to make a motion to
7 amend and add that particular sentence.

8 COMMISSIONER COLGAN: Move.

9 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Is there a second?

10 COMMISSIONER McCABE: Second.

11 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Moved by Commissioner Colgan and
12 seconded by Commissioner McCabe to add the sentence
13 suggested by Judge Wallace.

14 All in favor, say aye.

15 (No response.)

16 Any opposed?

17 (No response.)

18 Vote is 5 to nothing and the amendment
19 is approved.

20 And now we vote on the Order as
21 amended. The motion to second still stands for
22 that.

1 Is there any further discussion on the
2 Order as amended?

3 (No response.)

4 All in favor, say aye.

5 (Chorus of ayes.)

6 Any opposed?

7 (No response.)

8 Vote is 5 to nothing and the Order, as
9 amended, is adopted.

10 I want to thank, in a very quick time
11 frame, all of the offices for all of their
12 assistance on that, so thank you very much. We
13 really appreciate it.

14 Item E-5 is Docket No. 13-0606. This
15 is Raymond La Vigne's complaint against ComEd as to
16 billing and/or charges in Palatine. ALJ Hilliard
17 recommends entry of an Order dismissing the
18 complaint with prejudice.

19 Is there any discussion?

20 (No response.)

21 Any objections?

22 (No response.)

1 Hearing none, the Order is entered and
2 the complaint is dismissed.

3 Item E-6 is Docket No. 14-0344. This
4 is Leticia Lopez's complaint against Starion Energy
5 PA as to billing/charges in Prospect Heights. It
6 appears the parties have settled their differences
7 and have filed a Stipulation and Joint Motion to
8 Dismiss, which ALJ Riley recommends we grant.

9 Is there any discussion?

10 (No response.)

11 Any objections?

12 (No response.)

13 Hearing none, the motion is granted
14 and the complaint is dismissed.

15 Item E-7 is Docket No. 14-0107. This
16 is our citation against CRM Marketing Group for
17 failure to file its compliance recertification
18 report as required by 83 Ill. Adm. Code 454.110 and
19 for failure to maintain corporate status. ALJ
20 Kimbrel recommends entry of an Order canceling the
21 respondent's certificate.

22 Is there any discussion?

1 (No response.)

2 Any objections?

3 (No response.)

4 Hearing none, the Order is entered.

5 Item E-8 is Docket No. 14-0366. This
6 is Wayne-White Counties Electric Cooperative and the
7 City of McLeansboro's Joint Petition for approval of
8 a territorial agreement that provides for exclusive
9 electric service in Hamilton County. ALJ Von Qualen
10 recommends entry of an Order granting the requested
11 relief.

12 Is there any discussion?

13 (No response.)

14 Any objections?

15 (No response.)

16 Hearing none, the Order is entered.

17 Items E-9 through E-12 can be taken
18 together. These items are applications for
19 certification to install, maintain or repair
20 electric vehicle charging station facilities under
21 Section 16-128(a) of the Public Utilities Act. In
22 each case ALJ Benn recommends entry of an Order

1 granting the certificate.

2 Is there any discussion?

3 (No response.)

4 Any objections?

5 (No response.)

6 Hearing none, the Orders are entered.

7 Item E-13 is Docket No. 14-0418. This
8 is Pepco Energy Services' Petition to surrender its
9 ARES certificate, which ALJ Riley recommends we
10 grant.

11 Is there any discussion?

12 (No response.)

13 Any objections?

14 (No response.)

15 Hearing none, the Order is entered.

16 Turning now to Natural Gas, Items G-1
17 and G-2 can be taken together. These items are
18 North Shore Gas Company and Peoples Gas, Light and
19 Coke Company's petitions pursuant to the Rider UEA
20 of schedule of rates for gas service to initiate a
21 proceeding to determine the accuracy of Rider UEA
22 reconciliation statement. In both cases,

1 ALJ Teague Kingsley recommends entry of an Order
2 approving the reconciliation.

3 Is there any discussion?

4 (No response.)

5 Any objections?

6 (No response.)

7 Hearing none, the Orders are entered.

8 Item G-3 and G-4 can be taken
9 together. These items are North Shore Gas Company
10 and Peoples Gas, Light and Coke Company's proposed
11 general increases in gas rates. In both cases staff
12 recommends entry of a Resuspension Order extending
13 the period of suspension for a further six months.

14 Is there any discussion?

15 (No response.)

16 Are there any objections?

17 (No response.)

18 Hearing none, the Resuspension Orders
19 are entered.

20 On the Telecommunications, Item T-1 is
21 Docket No. 11-0109. This is Clear Choice Telcom's
22 application of public convenience and necessity to

1 provide resold long distance service throughout the
2 State of Illinois. ALJ Judge Teague Kingsley
3 recommends entry of an Order dismissing the
4 application for want of prosecution.

5 Is there any discussion?

6 (No response.)

7 Any objections?

8 (No response.)

9 Hearing none, the Order is entered and
10 the application is dismissed.

11 Item T-2 is Docket No. 11-0584. This
12 is Infinity Business Solutions' application for a
13 certificate to become a telecommunications carrier,
14 which ALJ Teague Kingsley recommends we dismiss for
15 want of prosecution.

16 Is there any discussion?

17 (No response.)

18 Any objections?

19 (No response.)

20 Hearing none, the Order is entered and
21 the application is dismissed.

22 Item T-3 is Docket No. 14-0428. This

1 is the County of Champaign's Petition for
2 Modification of a 9-1-1 Emergency Telephone System,
3 which ALJ Haynes recommends we approve.

4 Is there any discussion?

5 (No response.)

6 Any objections?

7 (No response.)

8 Hearing none, the Order is entered.

9 Item T-4 is Docket No. 14-0348. This
10 is Intrado Communications' application for a
11 Certificate of Local and Interexchange Authority to
12 operate as a reseller and/or facilities-based
13 carrier of telecommunications services in Illinois.
14 ALJ Jorgenson recommends entry of an Order granting
15 the requested certificate.

16 Is there any discussion?

17 (No response.)

18 Any objections?

19 (No response.)

20 Hearing none, the Order is entered.

21 Item T-5 is Docket No. 14-0395. This
22 is Eos Mobile Holdings' Application for a

1 Certificate of Wireless Authority to operate as a
2 reseller carrier of telecommunications services
3 throughout the State of Illinois. ALJ Riley
4 recommends entry of an Order granting the requested
5 certificate.

6 Is there any discussion?

7 (No response.)

8 Any objections?

9 (No response.)

10 Hearing none, the Order is entered.

11 Excuse me.

12 Item T-6 is Docket No. 14-0436. This
13 is Gigabit Squared Chicago's request for withdrawal
14 of local exchange carrier and interexchange carrier
15 certificates. ALJ Riley recommends entry of an
16 Order canceling the certificate.

17 Is there any discussion?

18 (No response.)

19 Any objections?

20 (No response.)

21 Hearing none, the Order is entered.

22 On to Water and Sewer, Item W-1 is

1 Docket No. 13-0373. This is Cherille Miles'
2 complaint against Illinois-American Water Company as
3 to billing/charges in Bolingbrook. The complainant
4 has filed a voluntary dismissal stipulating that all
5 issues have been resolved, which ALJ Baker
6 recommends we grant.

7 Is there any discussion?

8 (No response.)

9 Any objections?

10 (No response.)

11 Hearing none, the motion is granted
12 and the complaint dismissed.

13 Item W-2 is Docket 14-0379. This is
14 Illinois-American Water Company's application for a
15 variance from Part 600.370 (c)(2) of the rules of
16 the Illinois Commerce Commission and from LAWC's
17 tariff in response to a request for water service at
18 a property in Jersey County. ALJ Von Qualen
19 recommends entry of an Order granting the requested
20 variance.

21 Is there any discussion?

22 (No response.)

1 Any objections?

2 (No response.)

3 Hearing none, the Order is entered.

4 Moving now to Miscellaneous, Item M-1
5 is Docket 06-0703. This item concerns our revision
6 of 83 Ill. Adm. Code 280. ALJ Hilliard recommends
7 entry of a Second Notice Order and revised rule.

8 Is there any discussion?

9 (No response.)

10 Any objections?

11 (No response.)

12 Hearing none, the Order is entered.

13 COMMISSIONER COLGAN: Chairman, I just need to
14 recuse myself from voting on this.

15 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: So the vote on Item M-1 would be
16 4 to nothing. You are recused. Thank you,
17 Commissioner Colgan.

18 Item M-2 and M-3 can be taken
19 together. These items concern our amendments to
20 83 Ill. Adm. Code 210 and 220. In both cases, ALJ
21 Baker recommends entry of an Order adopting the
22 proposed amendment.

1 Is there any discussion?

2 (No response.)

3 Any objections?

4 (No response.)

5 Hearing none, the Orders are entered.

6 We have two sets of Petitions for
7 Rehearing to consider today. Item PR-1 is Docket
8 No. 13-0549. This is Nicor's application pursuant
9 to Section 8-104 of the Public Utilities Act for
10 consent to and approval of an energy efficiency
11 plan.

12 Nicor filed an Application for
13 Rehearing concerning its proposed adjustable savings
14 goals and proposed housekeeping corrections on
15 Findings 8 through 12 and 19 of the final Order.
16 ALJ Benn recommends we deny the Application for
17 Rehearing, as well as the company's proposal to
18 strike Findings 8 through 12, and approve the
19 amendment to Finding 19 of the Order.

20 Is there any discussion?

21 (No response.)

22 Is there any objections?

1 JUDGE WALLACE: Chairman --

2 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Yes. Go ahead.

3 JUDGE WALLACE: -- this is Judge Wallace again.

4 I think, to be on the safe side, I need to present
5 you with the Amended Order on the one change at the
6 next meeting.

7 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Okay. That will be fine. Thank
8 you. We'll go ahead and vote on that change, and
9 then you can present the Order with that change,
10 correct?

11 JUDGE WALLACE: Yes.

12 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Very good.

13 So the motion again we have before us
14 today would be to deny Nicor's Application for
15 Rehearing and, as a housekeeping correction, approve
16 only with respect to Finding 19 of the Order.
17 That's the motion.

18 Is there any discussion?

19 (No response.)

20 Are there any objections?

21 (No response.)

22 Hearing none, Nicor's Application for

1 Rehearing is denied and its housekeeping corrections
2 are approved in part and denied in part.

3 Item PR-2 is Docket No. 13-0550. This
4 is North Shore and Peoples Gas, Light and Coke
5 Company's application pursuant to Section 8-104 of
6 the Public Utilities Act to submit an energy
7 efficiency plan. The companies filed an Application
8 for Rehearing concerning the adjustment of savings
9 goals due to the annual changes to the TRM and NTG
10 ratios, which ALJ Benn recommends we deny.

11 Is there any discussion?

12 (No response.)

13 Are there any objections to the
14 denial?

15 (No response.)

16 Hearing none, the Application for
17 Rehearing is denied.

18 Before we adjourn, I would like to
19 remind everyone that we are hosting a gas and
20 electric policy session on the coordination between
21 the natural gas and electric industries today at
22 1:00 p.m. The meeting will take place in Chicago in

1 this room and will be available via videoconference
2 at the ICC's Springfield office. Thanks to
3 Commissioner Colgan and his advisor, Linda Wagner,
4 for organizing this session, and we look forward to
5 everyone's participation this afternoon.

6 Anything you want to say, Judge
7 Wallace? Are there any other matters to come before
8 the Commission today.

9 JUDGE WALLACE: No, that's all.

10

11

12 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Thank you, sir.

13 Hearing none, this meeting stands
14 adjourned. Thank you, everyone.

15 (Whereupon, the above
16 matter was adjourned.)

17

18

19

20

21

22

